

Reinvestigations of the exposure dose and work categories at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station

Under the guidance of the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, TEPCO investigated the exposure dose and work categories of its employees engaged in radiation work at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station during the initial stages of the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear accident, and reported the investigation results on January 30, 2015. The investigation revealed that exposure doses of some of the respondents needed to be revised, so TEPCO notified them of the revised dose values. Some of them informed us that they had responded to the investigation incorrectly. TEPCO related this fact to all of the respondents that would receive revised notices by phone or in writing, and explained the revision and reconfirmed the situation.

As a result, TEPCO judged it necessary to reinvestigate the exposure dose and work categories of five TEPCO employees who were among the employees whose exposure dose and work categories had been corrected in the previous investigation. TEPCO then has revised the respective data accordingly and report them as below:

**1. Reinvestigations of doses : TEPCO workers**

Dose modification **【2 workers】**

Cumulative dose (modified) 3.54mSv(+0.42mSv) as of the end of May 2015  
92.72mSv (-3.34mSv) as of the end of May 2015

We revised the dose based on the reinvestigation of transfer and stay doses.

**2. Reinvestigations of work categories : TEPCO workers**

(1) Revisions based on the relevant investigation of specific emergency workers **【1 worker】**

We revised this worker as “outside the premises” from “inside the premises” in the initial investigation, but revised again the worker as “inside the premises” based on the reinvestigation.

\*With this change of work categories, part of the exposure dose data has been corrected.

Cumulative dose (modified) 9.69mSv (+2.38mSv) (As of the end of May 2015)

(2) Revisions of work engagement records inside the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station **【2 workers】**

We revised them from “inside the premises” to “outside the premises” because we found that there were no records of entering the area.